

aforesaid, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, a quantity of eggs which were adulterated.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in substance in the information for the reason that it consisted in whole or in part of a filthy, decomposed, and putrid animal and vegetable substance.

On September 19, 1919, the defendant corporation having failed to make an appearance, the \$200 collateral that had been deposited by it to insure its appearance was ordered forfeited by the court.

E. D. BALL, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

**S268. Adulteration of eggs. U. S. \* \* \* v. Meyer Shuman. Collateral of \$25 forfeited. (F. & D. No. 520-c.)**

On September 30, 1919, the United States attorney for the District of Columbia, acting upon a report by the health officer of said District, filed in the Police Court of the District aforesaid an information against Meyer Shuman, Washington, D. C., alleging that on September 4, 1919, the said defendant did offer for sale and sell at the District aforesaid, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, a quantity of eggs which were adulterated.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in substance in the information for the reason that it consisted in whole or in part of a filthy, decomposed, and putrid animal and vegetable substance.

On September 30, 1919, the defendant having failed to appear, the \$25 collateral that had been deposited by him to insure his appearance was ordered forfeited by the court.

E. D. BALL, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

**S269. Adulteration of minced ham. U. S. \* \* \* v. Harry H. Harris. Collateral of \$50 forfeited. (F. & D. No. 521-c.)**

On October 1, 1919, the United States attorney for the District of Columbia, acting upon a report by the health officer of said District, filed in the Police Court of the District aforesaid an information against Harry H. Harris, Washington, D. C., alleging that on August 29, 1919, the said defendant did offer for sale and sell at the District aforesaid, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, a quantity of minced ham which was adulterated.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in substance in the information for the reason that it consisted in whole or in part of a filthy, decomposed, and putrid animal and vegetable substance.

On October 1, 1919, the defendant having failed to appear, the \$50 collateral that had been deposited by him to insure his appearance was ordered forfeited by the court.

E. D. BALL, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

**S270. Adulteration of ham. U. S. \* \* \* v. Dommico Torre. Collateral of \$25 forfeited. (F. & D. No. 522-c.)**

On October 2, 1919, the United States attorney for the District of Columbia, acting upon a report by the health officer of said District, filed in the Police Court of the District aforesaid an information against Dommico Torre, Washington, D. C., alleging that on August 16, 1919, the said defendant did offer for sale and sell at the District aforesaid, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, a quantity of ham which was adulterated.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in substance in the information for the reason that it consisted in whole or in part of a filthy, decomposed, and putrid animal and vegetable substance.

On October 2, 1919, the defendant having failed to appear, the \$25 collateral that had been deposited by him to insure his appearance was ordered forfeited by the court.

E. D. BALL, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

**8271. Adulteration of milk. U. S. \* \* \* v. James K. Walker. Collateral of \$25 forfeited. (F. & D. No. 523-c.)**

On October 1, 1919, the United States attorney for the District of Columbia, acting upon a report by the health officer of said District, filed in the Police Court of the District aforesaid an information against James K. Walker, Gaithersburg, Md., alleging the shipment by said defendant, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, on September 18, 1919, from the State of Maryland into the District of Columbia, of a quantity of milk which was adulterated.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in substance in the information for the reason that a valuable constituent of the article, to wit, butter fat, had been wholly or in part abstracted.

On October 10, 1919, the defendant having failed to appear, the \$25 collateral that had been deposited by him to insure his appearance was ordered forfeited by the court.

E. D. BALL, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

**8272. Adulteration of beans. U. S. \* \* \* v. Christian Kalsemas. Plea of guilty. Fine, \$25. (F. & D. No. 524-c.)**

On October 13, 1919, the United States attorney for the District of Columbia, acting upon a report by the health officer of said District, filed in the Police Court of the District aforesaid an information against Christian Kalsemas, Washington, D. C., alleging that on October 4, 1919, the said defendant did offer for sale and sell at the District aforesaid, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, a quantity of beans which were adulterated.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in substance in the information for the reason that it consisted in whole or in part of a filthy, decomposed, and putrid animal and vegetable substance.

On October 13, 1919, the defendant entered a plea of guilty to the information, and the court imposed a fine of \$25.

E. D. BALL, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

**8273. Adulteration of milk. U. S. \* \* \* v. Welford B. Johnson. Collateral of \$25 forfeited. (F. & D. No. 525-c.)**

On November 6, 1919, the United States attorney for the District of Columbia, acting upon a report by the health officer of said District, filed in the Police Court of the District aforesaid an information against Welford B. Johnson, Midland, Va., alleging the shipment by said defendant, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, on September 22, 1919, from the State of Virginia into the District of Columbia, of a quantity of milk which was adulterated.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in substance in the information for the reason that a certain substance to wit, water, had been mixed with it, thereby reducing and lowering its quality and strength.

On November 6, 1919, the defendant having failed to appear, the \$25 collateral that had been deposited by him to insure his appearance was ordered forfeited by the court.

E. D. BALL, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*